

1 J. JAMES LI (SBN 202855)
 2 *lij@gtlaw.com*
 3 DAVID J. PEREZ (SBN 238136)
perezdj@gtlaw.com
 4 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
 1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor
 East Palo Alto, California 94303
 Telephone: (650) 328-8500
 5 Facsimile: (650) 328-8508

6 MARK L. HOGGE (*Pro Hac Vice*)
hoggem@gtlaw.com
 7 SHAILENDRA K. MAHESHWARI (*Pro Hac Vice*)
maheshwaris@gtlaw.com
 8 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
 2101 L Street, N. W., Suite 1000
 9 Washington, D.C. 20037
 Telephone: (202) 331-3100
 10 Facsimile: (202) 331-3101

11 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant,
 ATRUA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

12
 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15
 16 AUTHENTEC, INC., a Delaware Corporation,
 Plaintiff,
 17
 v.
 18 ATRUA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a California
 Corporation,
 19
 Defendant.

Case No. C08-01423 PJH

**MOTION FOR ORDER SHORTENING
 TIME FOR HEARING ON MOTION
 FOR ENTRY OF PROTECTIVE ORDER
 AND TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF
 SOURCE CODE**

[NO HEARING REQUIRED]

21
 22 ATRUA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a California
 Corporation,
 23
 Counterclaimant,
 24
 v.
 25 AUTHENTEC, INC., a Delaware Corporation,
 26
 CounterDefendant.

27

28

1 Atrua moves, pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-3, for an order shortening the time for a hearing on
 2 Atrua's Motion for Entry of Protective Order and to Compel Production of Source Code (the "PO
 3 Motion").

4 This case was filed more than 5 months ago; yet there is still no protective order ("PO") for
 5 producing confidential information. The major issue holding back the PO is the issue of how to
 6 protect source code. For that reason, Atrua has filed the PO Motion.

7 By this administrative motion, Atrua requests the Court to accelerate the hearing and briefing
 8 of the PO Motion. The source code at issue in the PO Motion is absolutely critical to Atrua's
 9 preparation of its case, specifically, Atrua's development of its infringement contentions. The source
 10 code of Authentec is needed as soon as possible, and the delays in obtaining the source code, as well
 11 as any future delays if this motion is not granted, would result in substantial prejudice to Atrua, as
 12 Atrua would not be in a position to adequately prepare its case. The source code will also be relevant
 13 to the court mandated mediation of this case, which mediation is presently set for October 28, 2008.

14 Furthermore, Authentec would not experience any prejudice by advancing this hearing date,
 15 as Authentec undoubtedly also needs to obtain Atrua's source code. Thus, it is a mutual need for the
 16 Court's intervention in this matter, as it is likely that Authentec is just as interested in obtaining
 17 Atrua's source code as Atrua is in obtaining Authentec's source code.

18 For the reasons set forth above and for good cause showing, Atrua respectfully requests that
 19 the Court grant the Motion for an Order Shortening Time to hear Atrua's Motion for Protective Order
 20 and set the Motion for Protective Order for hearing on September 10, 2008, with Authentec's
 21 Opposition, if any, due to be filed on August 29, 2008, and Atrua's Reply, if any, due to be filed on
 22 September 3, 2008.

23
 24 Dated: August 21, 2008

GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP

25 By: _____ /s/
 26 J. JAMES LI

27 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
 28 Atrua Technologies, Inc.

DECLARATION OF J. JAMES LI

1 I, J. James Li, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice before the United States District Court for
 3 the Northern District of California. I am a Shareholder of the law firm Greenberg Traurig LLP,
 4 attorneys of record for Defendant and Counterclaimant Atrua Technologies, Inc. ("Atrua"), in the
 5 matter of *Authentec, Inc. v. Atrua Technologies, Inc.*, No. C 08-01423 PJH, in the U.S. District Court
 6 for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division.

7 2. I make this declaration pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-3(a) and in support of Atrua's Notice
 8 of Motion and Motion for Order Shortening Time for Hearing on Atrua's motion for a Protective
 9 Order and to Compel Production of Authentec's Source Code. This declaration is based upon my
 10 own personal knowledge. If called as a witness to testify to the matters asserted herein, I could
 11 testify competently.

Reasons for Requesting Shortened Time

12 3. Atrua requests an expedited hearing on the Motion for Protective Order because
 13 obtaining Authentec's source code is absolutely necessary to Atrua's preparation of its case,
 14 specifically, Atrua's development of its infringement contentions.

15 4. Atrua further requests an expedited hearing on the Motion for Protective Order
 16 because obtaining Authentec's source code will be relevant to the court required mediation aspect of
 17 this case, which mediation is presently set for October 28, 2008.

Efforts Made to Stipulate to Shortening Time

18 5. On August 21, 2008, I sent an email to Denise DeMory, Esq., counsel of record for
 19 Authentec, asking if Authentec would stipulate to an order shortening time for Atrua's Motion for
 20 Protective Order. Ms. DeMory has declined to so stipulate.

Substantial Harm Will Occur If the Court Does Not Shorten Time

21 6. If Atrua's Motion for Protective Order is not heard on an expedited basis, Atrua will
 22 be substantially harmed and prejudiced in that it will be prevented from fully preparing its case, and
 23 from developing its infringement contentions.

7. The source code will also be relevant to and needed in preparation for the court required mediation aspect of this case, which mediation is presently set for October 28, 2008, a date that is beyond the Court's deadline to complete the mediation, but was nonetheless agreed to by Atrua as a date that was suggested by Authentec's counsel.

8. Moreover, it is unlikely Authentec will suffer any prejudice by advancing the hearing on Atrua's Motion for Protective Order, as Authentec has not been able to obtain Atrua's source code either, while the protective order issue is still pending.

Nature of the Underlying Dispute Addressed in the Motion for Protective Order

9. Atrua's Motion for Protective Order seeks Court intervention to set a protective order for this case, as the parties have been unable to reach an agreement as to critical terms of the protective order. It is Atrua's position that the designation provided for in the terms that the parties agree on of "Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only" provides adequate protection for Authentec's source code. It appears to be Authentec's position that such a designation is not sufficient to protect its source code and that the only way such protection can be accomplished is through Atrua's counsel reviewing Authentec's source code on a "review computer" set up in Authentec's counsel's office.

Disclosure of Any Previous Time Modifications

10. There have been no previous time modifications approved by this Court in this case. However, the Mediation date for this case has been moved to October 28, 2008, pending the Court's approval of that date, as it is beyond the Court's deadline to complete the mediation.

Effect of the Requested Time Modification on the Case Schedule

11. Advancing the hearing date on Atrua's Motion for Protective Order will not adversely affect the progression of this case.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on August 21, 2008, at East Palo Alto, California.

J. JAMES LI